

1 **MINUTES OF MEETING**
2 **HERITAGE PINES**
3 **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**
4

5 A Regular Meeting of the Heritage Pines Community Development District's Board of
6 Supervisors was held on **Tuesday, April 8, 2014 at 2:00 p.m.**, in the **Heritage Pines Country**
7 **Club Meeting Room, 11524 Scenic Hills Boulevard, Hudson, Florida 34667.**
8

9 **Present at the meeting were:**

10
11 Gilbert Herr Chair
12 Raymond Russell Vice Chair
13 Herbert Elliott Assistant Secretary
14 Jack Nelson Assistant Secretary
15

16 **Also present were:**

17
18 Chuck Adams District Manager
19 Cleo Crismond Assistant Regional Manager
20 Kurt Heath District Engineer
21 Tommy Land ValleyCrest
22 Stephanie Nunziante Resident
23 Glenn Faust Resident
24 Robert Reynolds Resident
25 Glenn Faust Resident
26 Randy Doolittle Resident
27 Debra Tribbey Resident
28 Deanna Kimbrough Resident
29 Jim Kelley Resident
30 Bonnie Walsh Resident
31 Other Residents
32
33

34 **FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS**

Call to Order/Roll Call

35
36 Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m., and noted, for the record, that
37 Supervisors Herr, Russell, Elliott and Nelson were present, in person. Supervisor Zimmerman
38 was not present.
39

40 **SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS**

Public Comments [3 minutes per person]

41
42 Mr. Adams indicated that this section is allocated for comments on non-agenda items.
43 He advised that, as the Board discusses each item on the agenda, the public will be given the

44 opportunity to comment prior to a vote. He asked that all speakers state their names for accurate
45 transcription of the meeting minutes.

46 Ms. Stephanie Nunziante, a resident, observed that the District installed bushes along the
47 walls of the retention areas, for a variety of reasons. She noted the presence of a steep slope at
48 the wall abutting the cul-de-sac on Tiverton Court; mowers tip over or get stuck in the mud. The
49 area is not mowed often and, as a result, there is an abundance of weeds and ragweeds. Ms.
50 Nunziante asked about the procedure to have the District plant bushes on that portion of the
51 environmental dry retention area (EDRA) wall. It was noted that Ms. Nunziante was referring to
52 EDRA 25.

53 Mr. Herr advised that this item is on the agenda for discussion.

54 Mr. Russell indicated that safety is the main reason for installation of vegetation along
55 the lake banks and EDRA's. He asked Mr. Tommy Land, with ValleyCrest, to discuss the
56 mowing conditions on EDRA 25.

57 Mr. Land stated that there are no mowing safety issues on EDRA 25. With regard to
58 weeds, Mr. Land advised that Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) does
59 not permit spraying in EDRA's. He noted that several types of grass were installed in the EDRA's
60 for stabilization of the slopes.

61 Mr. Russell estimated that the top area of the north side of EDRA 25 measures 8,200
62 square feet.

63 Mr. Elliott determined that Ms. Nunziante was referring to the south side of EDRA 25.

64 Mr. Russell reported that there is some erosion on the north side and, per a Board
65 Member's suggestion, he measured the area for future plantings, which will eliminate mowing
66 on a steep angle. Mr. Russell estimated that the cost will be approximately \$10,000.

67 Mr. Russell noted that irrigation is a major concern in EDRA 25 and other areas. He
68 asked Ms. Nunziante to allow the Board to devise a solution; he or Mr. Herr will contact her.
69 Ms. Nunziante asked if the village board is required to make a formal request to the District. Mr.
70 Russell opined that a formal request is not necessary.

71 Mr. Herr indicated that the District will suggest that residents, whose yards abut lake
72 banks or EDRA's without irrigation, install circular sprinkler heads. The circular heads will
73 ensure that the residents' back yards, lake banks and EDRA's receive irrigation. He reminded
74 homeowners that irrigation systems will be connected to reclaimed water; therefore, the
75 additional water will be inexpensive.

76 Mr. Elliott clarified that Ms. Nunziante was referring to the south side of EDRA 25 and
77 noted that vegetation was planted on the north side. He advised that he inspected the north side
78 three times, in the last two weeks, and observed two areas, approximately 4' in diameter, which
79 will require fill and sod. Mr. Elliott asked Mr. Land if this is a mowing hazard. Mr. Land stated
80 that EDRA 25 is not as severe as other areas.

81 Mr. Elliott indicated that the south side of EDRA 25 requires treatment to stimulate the
82 grass. Mr. Nelson believed that several residents already installed circular sprinkler heads.

83 Mr. Russell reported that there is erosion on the west bank of EDRA 28, abutting
84 Hollander Avenue. He indicated that the District must arrange for removal and relocation of
85 gopher tortoise, prior to installation of sod and stabilization of the lake bank. Mr. Russell
86 estimated the repair costs to be \$400 to \$500.

87 Mr. Herr advised that the main areas of concern are EDRA 40, 28 and 25, with repair
88 costs estimated at \$40,000.

89 In response to Mr. Herr's inquiry, Mr. Adams conveyed that the Board can opt to
90 authorize the repairs to these areas. From a budget standpoint, Mr. Adams referred to Page 2, of
91 the unaudited financials, and noted that the "Dry retention pond refurbishment/planting"
92 expenditures were \$12,368, as of February 28. He recalled that an invoice, in the amount of
93 approximately \$26,000, was received for repairs to EDRA 20 and 24. Mr. Adams noted a
94 \$15,200 balance in "Contingencies", which will offset a portion of that invoice.

95 Mr. Adams pointed out that there is not much "wobble room" in the budgeted line items
96 to cover the \$40,000 expenditure; however, fund balance can be utilized. He reported that the
97 year end total "Fund balance" will be \$107,000, if the District remains within budget. Mr.
98 Adams recalled his prior recommendation to maintain a fund balance of 25% of the annual
99 budget.

100 Mr. Adams confirmed that the District can pay for the repairs; however, there must be
101 discipline, going forward, and the Board should plan on budgeting a recovery. "Contingency"
102 should not be utilized to replenish the fund balance to return it to 25% of the annual budget. Mr.
103 Adams explained that the strategy behind the 25% amount is to cover expenditures during the
104 months in which the District has expenses without incoming revenue.

105 Mr. Adams conveyed that the surplus sale of the Toro mower will recover some funds.
106 Mr. Russell reported that three bids were received for the old Toro mower and the District

107 decided to accept a bid in the amount of \$6,500. Mr. Russell turned the check over to Mr.
108 Adams.

109 The conversation returned to EDRA repairs.

110 Mr. Adams indicated that another alternative would be to repair the areas identified as
111 safety concerns during the prior meeting. He noted that the work can be performed in phases and
112 repairs to the nonhazardous areas can be performed during the next fiscal year. Mr. Adams
113 conveyed that this is a Board decision.

114 The Board identified EDRAs 24, 20, 40 and 28 as priority repairs. It was noted that
115 repairs were completed to 20 and 24.

116 Mr. Adams indicated that EDRAs 20 and 24 were repaired; therefore, EDRAs 40 and 28
117 are next on the repair list. In response to Mr. Adams' question, Mr. Herr conveyed that a
118 proposal of approximately \$20,000 was obtained to repair EDRA 40, with plantings, instead of
119 sod. Mr. Russell clarified that the maximum cost will be \$20,000. Mr. Adams indicated that
120 repairs to EDRA 28 will be approximately \$400 for relocation of the gopher tortoise and repairs
121 to the burrows.

122 Mr. Adams explained that the invoice for the EDRA 24 and 20 repairs will be paid with
123 the funds remaining in "Contingencies" and "Dry retention pond refurbishment/planting", as
124 well as the check received for the surplus sale of the Toro mower. The \$20,000 for repairs to
125 EDRAs 40 and 28 will be paid from "Fund balance" and will reduce the fund balance to
126 approximately \$87,000, at year end.

127 For clarification, Mr. Herr asked if \$40,000 is available for repairs. Mr. Adams specified
128 that Phase 1 repairs, the areas with safety concerns, will cost approximately \$20,000 above
129 budget and will reduce the "Fund balance" by \$40,000, leaving approximately \$67,000. Mr.
130 Herr suggested including EDRA 25 to the Phase 1 repair list for an additional \$10,000 and using
131 a total of \$30,000 from the "Fund balance". Mr. Herr questioned if this was feasible. Mr.
132 Adams indicated that the amount will impact the fund balance and reiterated his position
133 regarding the "Fund balance". Mr. Elliott indicated that the balance is a "comfortable position".

134 Mr. Land opined that \$10,000 is insufficient to repair EDRA 25 and noted that this area is
135 not a safety issue. He conveyed that EDRA 40 will require two plantings, at a cost of
136 approximately \$17,000. Mr. Russell indicated that he provided a rough estimate for the purpose
137 of today's discussion. Mr. Russell stated that some of the excess can be cut from the EDRA 40
138 repair costs.

139 Mr. Elliott voiced his opinion that \$30,000 should be expended only if funds are available
140 and asked “What if we have a hurricane?”

141 Mr. Adams explained that the District is responsible for stormwater management and not
142 the landscaping program. He clarified that, subsequent to a hurricane, the District would also be
143 responsible for cleaning up the front parcel. Mr. Adams does not anticipate that a hurricane will
144 have a financial impact on this District; the majority of the financial responsibility will fall on the
145 homeowners’ association (HOA).

146 Mr. Russell asked how much money will be available in the “Fund balance” for
147 contingencies.

148 Mr. Adams stated that the District currently has a projected “Fund balance” of \$107,000,
149 if the budgeted amounts are expended. Assuming that the District will go over budget, any
150 excess expended items on the projects will be paid from the “Fund balance”. He indicated that
151 the proposed repair costs are \$30,000, which would reduce the “Fund balance” to \$77,000, at
152 year end. Mr. Adams indicated that it is not an ideal “Fund balance” but it is “semi-
153 comfortable”. He noted that the balance can be recovered in future years if the District continues
154 with a budget of \$20,000 and a “Contingencies” of \$15,000. If these funds are not spent, they
155 will continue to roll over into the fund balance. Mr. Adams reiterated that the District must be
156 disciplined in future years.

157 Mr. Herr made a motion to proceed with repairs to EDRA 40, 28 and 25, in a not-to-
158 exceed amount of \$30,000, with the work to begin in the next 60 to 90 days. Mr. Russell
159 seconded the motion.

160 Mr. Herr asked if there were any additional comments.

161 Mr. Nelson indicated that he was concerned with Mr. Land’s opinion that EDRA 25 is
162 not a safety issue and questioned spending money, at this time, on repairs that are not safety
163 issues. Mr. Elliott agreed with Mr. Nelson and voiced his preference to proceed with repairs to
164 EDRA 40 and 28; EDRA 25 can be included on the next list of repairs. Mr. Herr contended that
165 residents near EDRA 25 would like improvements to that area.

166 A resident asserted that, in the past, she and her husband assisted landscapers when their
167 mower tipped over. She noted that the mower gets stuck in that area and she was previously
168 advised that the area does not get mowed often because it is difficult to mow. The resident
169 indicated that the ragweed must be approximately 1’ high before it gets mowed. She contended
170 that residents are receiving mixed messages.

171 Mr. Land advised that ValleyCrest employees have never overturned mowers at that
 172 location. The resident contended that she observed an overturned mower, as well as mowers
 173 stuck in the mud. Mr. Land reiterated that it was not a ValleyCrest mower. Mr. Russell
 174 questioned whether the mower tipped over or was stuck in the mud. The resident asserted that,
 175 several years ago, a mower tipped over. Mr. Land indicated that it must have been prior to
 176 ValleyCrest’s contract with the District. Mr. Land stated that, in ValleyCrest’s tenure with the
 177 District, only one mower tipped over and it occurred on the other side of the property.

178 The resident asked if EDRA 25 can be mowed more frequently, prior to the ragweed
 179 reaching 1’ high. Mr. Land explained that mowing occurs less frequently during the winter
 180 months but increases during the summer, as it is growing season. He noted that ValleyCrest is
 181 currently on the “normal” mowing schedule and EDRA 25 is mowed every ten to 14 days,
 182 depending on the weather. Mr. Land reiterated that EDRA 25 is not a safety hazard.

183 Ms. Nunziante expressed confusion with the discussion and asked Mr. Russell to clarify
 184 the repairs that he is proposing on EDRA 25. Mr. Russell explained which area he measured.
 185 Ms. Bonnie Walsh, a resident, stated that she prefers a description of the work that will be
 186 performed. Mr. Herr advised that the Board will authorize the finances today and the details of
 187 the work will be decided, subsequent to Mr. Land’s and Mr. Russell’s tour of the areas.

188 Mr. Russell reminded the Board that a motion is on the floor. Mr. Herr was of the
 189 opinion that Mr. Nelson and Mr. Elliott should reconsider their position on this matter.

190

**On MOTION by Mr. Herr and seconded by Mr. Russell, with
 Mr. Herr and Mr. Russell in favor and Mr. Nelson and Mr.
 Elliott dissenting, using \$30,000 of the fund balance to offset
 the repair costs to EDRA 40, 28 and 25, was not approved.
 (Motion failed 2-2)**

196

197

198 Mr. Herr made a motion authorizing \$20,000 from the fund balance to offset repair costs
 199 to EDRA 40 and 28.

200

**On MOTION by Mr. Herr and seconded by Mr. Russell, with
 all in favor, using \$20,000 of the fund balance to offset the
 repair costs to EDRA 40 and 28, was approved.**

201

202

203

204

205

206 **THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS****Discussion/Updates: Dry Retention Area
Work (items 1-6 on discussion summary)**

207

208

209 Mr. Adams indicated that Items 1 through 4 were discussed during the First Order of
210 Business. He noted that Item 5 refers to EDRA 47 and Item 6 is related to EDRA 46B.

211 With regard to EDRA 47, Mr. Russell indicated that he asked the District Engineer to
212 survey from the 18th Hole, from the white tee, to the fairway. He clarified that the District must
213 delineate EDRA 47 from environmental wet retention area (EWRA) 47, as EWRA 47 cannot be
214 “touched”.

215 Mr. Russell reported that there was an issue on fairway 18 and Mr. Heath was asked to
216 survey the area. He noted that the western half of that fairway is an EDRA and the elevation of
217 “the bottom of the fairway is below the wet pond to the north of that hole”. The pond is
218 manually filled by facilities maintenance. Mr. Russell opined the water elevation is too high and
219 is seeping through the berm that separates the pond from the fairway. He explained that the pond
220 is lined and his staff is currently searching for the liner, in relation to the water level. Staff is
221 currently 4’ deep but the liner has not been located; therefore, Mr. Russell presumed that the
222 liner is further away from the current shoreline. In order to determine the water elevation should
223 be, a surveyor must pinpoint the exact top elevation of the liner.

224 Mr. Heath explained that the pond is reinforced with a high density polyethylene liner
225 that retains the water within the pond, if the water level is maintained below the liner. It appears
226 that, over time, the water level has been maintained higher than the original design level. Mr.
227 Heath noted that when standing on the bank, the water level appears to be higher than the
228 elevation of the fairway. He indicated that, tomorrow, a surveyor will assess the pond to
229 determine the accurate water elevation, which will also assist in locating the liner. Mr. Heath
230 deduced that the water level must be lower to keep water from seeping into the fairway.

231 Mr. Russell recapped that the surveyor will also assess EDRA 47 and EWRA 47 to
232 delineate the two retention areas. He indicated that EDRA 47, located in front of the 18th tee, can
233 be maintained by the District; the EWRA, to the south of the tee, cannot be “touched”. It was
234 noted that the pond is located to the right of the tee box.

235 Mr. Heath clarified that a natural wetland area is located in front of the tee box and the
236 EDRA for stormwater was installed around the perimeter. The District can maintain the EDRA
237 but not the wetland area; therefore, Mr. Heath arranged for the surveyor to demarcate the
238 boundary. Mr. Heath advised Mr. Land that this will assist with maintenance.

239 Discussion ensued regarding the locations of Wetland L, EDRA 47 and EWRA 47.

240 Mr. Russell advised that the District is considering converting EDRA 47 to a waste area,
241 without sod. During the summer rains, the water will seep into the sand and the area will dry out
242 during the dry season.

243 **▪ Consideration of Surplus Sale of Old Toro Mower (Tommy Land to Present)**

244 *****This item, previously the Eighth Order of Business, was presented out of order.*****

245 Mr. Adams asked the Board to ratify the actions taken by Mr. Land and the HOA on the
246 District’s behalf. He explained that three bids were secured for the old Toro mower and golf
247 course equipment. Mr. Adams indicated that the motion should include that it was in the best
248 interest of the District to handle the surplus equipment in this manner.

249

On MOTION by Mr. Russell and seconded by Mr. Nelson, with all in favor, disposal of the surplus golf equipment and old Toro mower, by Mr. Tommy Land, in the manner most efficient and beneficial to the citizens of the District, consistent with the requirements of Chapter 274, Florida Statutes, was ratified.

250

251

252 Mr. Adams advised of another surplus piece of equipment available. He asked the Board
253 to authorize Mr. Land to negotiate the sale of said equipment, via a motion. Mr. Adams asked
254 Mr. Land to provide him with copies of the bills of sale, for the District’s records.
255

256
257
258 In response to Mr. Land’s question, Mr. Adams explained that the proper protocol for the
259 disposal of surplus equipment is to have the Board authorize the surplus sale of the equipment,
260 recognizing that it is no longer useful for the purpose for which it was purchased; therefore,
261 authorizing the advertisement and sale of the equipment to the highest bidder and proper disposal
262 of the equipment, if a bid is not secured.
263

264 Mr. Land apologized for the sale of the District’s equipment and noted that it was stored
265 with the HOA’s equipment. Mr. Adams stated that the prior motion passed by the Board ratified
266 the sale of the equipment.
267

268

On MOTION by Mr. Russell and seconded by Mr. Elliott, with all in favor, authorizing Mr. Tommy Land to dispose of the remaining surplus property, in the manner most efficient and beneficial to the citizens of the District, consistent with the requirements of Chapter 274, Florida Statutes, was approved.

269

270

271

272

273

274

275 ****Mr. Land left the meeting.****

276

277

278 **FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

Discussion: Areas of Drainage Concern
(items 7 and 8 on discussion summary)

279

280

281 Mr. Elliott reported a clogged swale on Myrtlewood Avenue. He was advised by a
282 resident that, during the last rain, the water level was within 2” of their lanai. Mr. Elliott
283 explained that the drain has a solid cover instead of a grill. Mr. Heath requested the exact
284 location of the swale. The Board asked Mr. Heath to inspect the drain and confirm that the clog
285 is not affecting the rest of the drainage system. In Mr. Elliott’s opinion, “it is a matter of
286 changing from a solid to a grate grill”.

287 Mr. Herr asked if residents wished to comment on Item 8 of the discussion summary, the
288 Webster Grove Drive area situation.

289 Mr. Glenn Faust, President of Pine Glen Village of Heritage Pines HOA (Pine Glen
290 HOA), advised that his village has been working with Mr. Heath on a drainage issue, for
291 approximately one year. Mr. Faust is of the belief that the District shares responsibility for the
292 repairs. Referring to a map, he stated that the drainage problem affects approximately six homes
293 on Webster Grove Drive.

294 Mr. Faust reported that two drains were installed, south of an area that he referred to on a
295 map, when the community was initially constructed. Water from Webster Grove Drive was
296 designed to drain naturally into the two drains; however, it is not occurring due to tree roots,
297 leaves and other vegetation. The water is pooling behind approximately six homes on Webster
298 Grove Drive, making it very difficult to grow sod, vegetation or any other ground cover.

299 Mr. Faust advised that they have been investigating methods of draining water from
300 Webster Grove Drive towards two inlets that he pointed out on a map. He noted that the inlets
301 drain underneath Webster Grove Drive into a retention area located on the west side of Webster
302 Grove Drive. Mr. Faust recalled that, approximately one year ago, Mr. Heath confirmed, in a
303 report, that the water moves freely in this area, signifying that the water will drain if it reaches
304 the inlets.

305 Mr. Faust affirmed that the “crux” of this discussion is to determine how to direct the
306 water from the homes to the two drains. Several methods were investigated, such as installing a
307 drain extension and tunneling beneath the trees. Installing the drain requires removal of
308 numerous oak trees from private property; some homeowners are not in agreement with tree

309 removal. Mr. Faust relayed that tunneling would save the oak trees but require additional
310 manpower to keep the drains clear of debris.

311 Mr. Faust indicated that the area is long enough to install a drainage pipe. He explained
312 that they researched installing an 8” pipe, which would create a bow in the line; the water would
313 have to be pushed through.

314 Mr. Faust reported that Mr. Heath previously suggested removing the vegetation, cedar
315 and viburnum, from the 10’ easement. He noted that this vegetation grows over 15’ tall and
316 creates a privacy wall for the homeowners. Mr. Faust explained that removing the vegetation
317 and creating another swale will allow water to move from the homes, to the swale and then down
318 to the first inlet. He noted that this method was discussed with the residents in the area and it did
319 not meet their satisfaction.

320 Mr. Faust recalled that the Pine Glen HOA advised the District that the drip line was not
321 functioning and the water that seeps into the inlet creates a problem.

322 Mr. Faust stated that Pine Glen HOA prefers the District’s assistance in creating better
323 water movement by re-grading, from the homes to the 10’ easement area, to allow water to flow
324 towards the inlets. He advised that they have not looked into the costs associated with this
325 improvement. Mr. Faust noted that proposals ranging from \$10,000 to \$15,000 were received
326 for the other improvements but were considered too expensive.

327 Mr. Faust advised that the Pine Glen HOA is seeking assistance from the District to
328 resolve this problem, as they feel that the drainage issue itself was created when the two vaults
329 were initially created, with the assumption that the water would drain naturally; however, the
330 land features have changed. He pointed out that Mr. Nelson and Mr. Russell witnessed the
331 problem.

332 Mr. Elliott recalled that he inspected the area at 8:15 a.m., the prior week, subsequent to a
333 heavy rainfall of 3” and “there was no collection of water out there”.

334 Mr. Faust contended that several people have observed the area during rains and “the
335 water pools quite a bit”. “There are a couple of homes that are set back; the water comes right
336 up to their lanais”. He assented that the water percolates fairly quickly; yet, it does pool, causes
337 erosion and does not allow the growth of ground cover.

338 Mr. Faust reported that several homeowners created a dam to force the water away from
339 the house.

340 Mr. Russell indicated that he previously inspected the area with Mr. Elliott, prior to the
341 last rainfall, and they did not witness pooling water. Mr. Russell noticed that some homes have
342 gutters with downspouts that lead back to the area where the water is pooling. Mr. Faust
343 explained that there is a culvert between each home that draws the water to that location;
344 therefore, the water will ultimately reach the back of the house, whether or not there is a gutter
345 carrying it there.

346 Mr. Herr suggested rerouting the gutters to the front of the homes. Mr. Faust stated “now
347 you are going against the elevation of the land” and agreed that “something” can be created to tie
348 into the drainage system. Mr. Herr clarified that the gutter can be rerouted to drain into the
349 street, which will alleviate some of the water pooling in the back.

350 Mr. Herr indicated that the land elevation was altered to accommodate the construction of
351 lanais, as well as other improvements to the rear of the homes and, to some extent, has changed
352 the flow of the water so that the flow has to travel in the “wrong direction” to reach the drainage
353 pipe. He advised that improvements to the homes were made subsequent to installation of the
354 original drainage pipe.

355 Mr. Faust expressed concern with the water pooling. He stated that it is not a matter of
356 water travelling in the “wrong direction”, “it’s just not going in any direction”.

357 Mr. Russell asked Mr. Faust what he wants the District to do.

358 Ms. Debra Tribbey, a resident, stated that she is one of the affected homeowners and
359 indicated that she respects the fact the Pine Glen HOA Board of Directors is attempting to find a
360 solution to this problem. She noted that “some of us have a suggestion”. Referring to the map,
361 Ms. Tribbey pointed to her home and a fence on another property, which was installed in the
362 middle of the easement, instead of the far eastern side edge. Ms. Tribbey advised that she
363 reviewed the original drawings and plat and reiterated that the fence sits in the middle of the 10’
364 easement, not to the far eastern edge.

365 With regard to the water flow issue, Ms. Tribbey questioned whether there is an optimal
366 “slant” in the backyards. She summarized that, it is her belief, from her review of the original
367 drawings and plat, that the fence was not installed in the proper location.

368 Ms. Tribbey reported that she, and several of her neighbors, observed the water reaching
369 the edge of their homes and have installed preventative barriers. She concurred that the issue is
370 with drainage, as water flows fast and percolates within 24 hours, although, it pools in some
371 places. Ms. Tribbey questioned what will occur during a 100-year flood. She indicated that the

372 water drained within two hours, subsequent to the 4” rainfall that occurred two weeks ago;
373 however, it can pool up to a depth of 6” during heavy rainfalls.

374 Ms. Tribbey stated that the issue is how to direct water away from the homes, especially
375 the foundations. She suggested installing adequate landscaping and buffers in the areas where
376 the water is pooling, as the majority of the property owners do not want a major construction
377 project that diminishes the mature oaks, viburnum and red cedars, which are deep rooted. Ms.
378 Tribbey advised that homeowners have watched the vegetation grow for 12 years. She noted that
379 the vegetation is not in need of additional irrigation, “which is a good thing because the irrigation
380 line on the east property line hasn’t worked in ten years”.

381 Ms. Tribbey indicated that she does not know what the solution to the problem is but is
382 sure that homeowners do not want a major construction project, especially anything that will
383 diminish the overall property values.

384 Mr. Herr asked Mr. Heath for his opinion.

385 Mr. Heath indicated that a 10’ landscape easement was established with an adjacent 10’
386 drainage easement going into the properties. He acknowledged that there is not a lot of room
387 between the rear of the homes or the lanais, to the shrubs. Mr. Heath indicated that the fence line
388 was constructed inside the property, within the landscape easement, around 1.5’ to 2’ and then
389 shrubs, viburnum and cedar were planted; over the years, vegetation matured and has
390 encompassed almost the entire 10’ easement.

391 With regard to the 10’ drainage easement, Mr. Heath advised that there are two inlets
392 going up to a certain point. The permitted drawings reflect a swale that was created within the
393 drainage easement, with the intent of draining the water pooling behind the homes to the swale,
394 the inlets and carry it out across the road, to the pond.

395 Mr. Heath indicated that the problem is that the oak trees were planted in the middle of
396 that easement. He acknowledged that the oak trees were probably planted per a county
397 requirement and not planted by the property owners. Mr. Heath clarified that the oak trees were
398 planted in the middle of the swale and, although, the swale is located in a low area, it is not flat
399 and there are areas where the water can pool. He indicated that one benefit to the community is
400 that the groundwater is low and allows water to percolate quickly; however, during the summer,
401 when the ground is saturated, the water will pool behind the homes.

402 Mr. Heath indicated that the oak trees and shrubs leave no room for equipment to perform
403 any type of swale reconstruction. He noted that “something has to go” to make room for the

404 equipment. Mr. Heath advised that something may be performed within the swales between the
405 homes. The swales gather water from the roofs and gutters and channel it to the direction of the
406 grading. He explained that most of the grading slopes toward the east side of the back of the
407 homes, which would go into that swale and flow towards the drainage system.

408 Mr. Heath recognized that the vegetation impedes proper water flow. He agreed that the
409 gutters and downspouts can be redirected towards the street and noted that additional water and
410 debris will flow towards the street.

411 Mr. Herr asked if a larger swale can be installed between the tree line and the rear of the
412 homes. In Mr. Heath's opinion, the easiest and most long-term solution is to recreate the swale;
413 the area should be flattened to allow the water to make its way to the inlets. It does not need to
414 be a big swale; the roots must be removed. Mr. Herr asked Mr. Heath if he is suggesting
415 installing the swale behind the tree line.

416 Mr. Heath acknowledged resident concerns about losing the tree buffer behind their
417 homes; however, a width of approximately 8' is necessary to maneuver a mini excavator or
418 dozer, to cut through the roots. Mr. Herr asked if the roots can be cut in front of the trees,
419 instead of behind the homes. Mr. Heath pointed out that the equipment must be transported from
420 the north, which is an EDRA, as there is insufficient space between the homes; therefore,
421 vegetation must be removed. Mr. Nelson stated that he knows of 6' wide equipment.

422 In response to a question, Mr. Heath indicated that a trencher will fit and noted that the
423 sprinkler systems and piping will be cut, as well. He confirmed that the improvement will be
424 costly.

425 Mr. Elliott motioned to table this item to allow the Board and Staff to investigate the
426 matter further and consider other resolutions, prior to the next meeting. He noted that there are
427 too many specific factors to consider. Mr. Elliott pointed out that the oak trees are inside the
428 fence; therefore, the fence is probably "where it shouldn't be". Mr. Heath concurred that the
429 fence is located in the middle of the easement.

430 Mr. Faust asked Mr. Heath if it would be difficult to grade from the homes down to the
431 existing swale to improve runoff and prevent water from flowing up towards the homes. Mr.
432 Heath indicated that the area must be flattened and the swale recreated; very little aggrading will
433 be required. Mr. Heath reiterated that the problem will be transporting the equipment into the
434 area. Mr. Faust noted that some homeowners agreed to tree removal. Mr. Heath advised that
435 one option is to keep the trees and temporarily remove shrubs.

436 Mr. Nelson stated that, if the area is graded and sod or grass is planted, it will not take
437 because the tall trees and shrubs obstruct sunlight. Mr. Heath agreed that the area has limited
438 daylight.

439 Ms. Deanna Kimbrough, a resident, advised that she is on the Pine Glen HOA Board and
440 her house is next door to Ms. Tribbey's. For the record, Ms. Kimbrough stated that several
441 homeowners are not opposed to removing the oak trees, with the caveat that "someone else pays
442 for it". Mr. Herr stated that it will be part of the project.

443 It was noted that a motion is on the floor. Mr. Adams indicated that Mr. Elliott motioned
444 to table this discussion to the next meeting. Mr. Elliott explained that tabling this item will allow
445 time for further investigation.

446

**On MOTION by Mr. Elliott and seconded by Mr. Russell, with
all in favor, tabling discussion of the Webster Grove Drive
Drainage issue to the June meeting, was approved.**

447

448

449

450

451

452 Mr. Faust noted that this has been going on for a while.

453 For the record, Mr. Herr stated that "we have been looking at this thing for at least two
454 years, that I know of and, maybe, even before that". Mr. Herr indicated that it is "alright to table
455 something" but, in his opinion, the Board must take action the next time it is discussed. Mr. Herr
456 stated that the Board must consider this matter seriously and asked for a volunteer to take the
457 lead on this project.

458 Mr. Nelson volunteered. Mr. Nelson empathized with the Webster Grove Drive residents
459 and indicated that the shrubs and trees must be removed, which will eliminate their privacy.

460 Mr. Herr questioned whether the matter is the District's responsibility. In Mr. Herr's
461 opinion, there is a drainage line that contains piping owned by the District. Mr. Nelson asked if
462 there is a "history of facts that we do own that". Mr. Herr replied affirmatively. Mr. Adams
463 indicated that "there is nothing in the requisition program" for Village 19. Mr. Herr reiterated
464 that the District owns the pipe. Mr. Adams clarified that the CDD has concrete pipe in the area;
465 however, ownership of the yard drainage is questionable. It appears that there is a dedication of
466 those lake maintenance easements and Lake Tract F3-A to the Pine Glen HOA. Mr. Adams
467 affirmed that ownership responsibility must be reviewed very carefully. Mr. Herr voiced his
468 preference of sharing the responsibility with the HOA.

469 Mr. Adams clarified that “private” versus “public” ownership must be ascertained; if it is
470 public and it is District owned, the CDD has responsibility; however, if it is private, the CDD
471 cannot expend funds on the project.

472 Mr. Faust asked if the 10’ easement is considered public or private. Mr. Adams
473 explained that there are multiple easements with multiple entities having easement rights and
474 responsibilities for drainage, installation and maintenance. There are dedications to the District
475 and the HOA. Mr. Adams assumed that the dedications to the CDD are for the large hard pipe
476 within those areas and the more localized yard drainage facilities are the association’s
477 responsibility.

478 Mr. Nelson asked Mr. Randy Doolittle, a resident, if Mr. Gary Wind, of Pasco County,
479 has knowledge of this particular drain. Mr. Doolittle replied affirmatively. Mr. Nelson asked
480 Mr. Doolittle for Mr. Wind’s opinion.

481 Mr. Doolittle stated that Pasco County restricted the removal of mature oak trees to
482 circumstances with structural damage or the potential of structural damage to the homes;
483 obtaining a permit is difficult. Pasco County Code Compliance inspected and every tree must be
484 marked. Mr. Doolittle advised that many villages have experienced similar drainage problems in
485 their backyards, due to mature oak trees, and Pasco County determined which trees could, or
486 could not, be removed; the days of “let’s cut them all down and start over” are gone. Mr.
487 Doolittle explained that the trees must be 10” in diameter and 50’ tall for the permits to be
488 considered. Mr. Nelson asked if this is true when the trees are the cause of the drainage
489 problems. Mr. Doolittle reiterated that there must be a threat of structural damage to the homes.
490 He could not recall whether Pasco County takes drainage issues under consideration. Mr.
491 Doolittle indicated that the District will have a better case for Code Compliance to issue a permit
492 if an engineer verifies that the trees are cracking the cement slabs and are the source of the
493 pooling water.

494 In response to Mr. Nelson’s question, Mr. Faust confirmed that the residents do not wish
495 to remove the viburnum. Mr. Nelson asked if it is their prerogative to have them removed. Mr.
496 Faust stated that residents do not have an option, as the viburnum is planted on Pine Glen HOA’s
497 10’ easement; the residents can ask to have them trimmed but not removed. He noted that there
498 are oak trees planted in the swale.

499 Ms. Kimbrough contended that the shrubberies on the property line might be part of the
500 swale but they are not located in the swale. Mr. Heath explained that the shrub elevation was
501 higher, as it was not part of the drainage easement.

502

503 **FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Discussion: Potential Solution to Water
504 Hammer Issue (*Engineer Presentation*)**

505

506 Mr. Heath reported that the county's reclaimed piping that comes from the south end of
507 the community, makes noise, at times. During the investigation last fall, it was identified that
508 hammering occurred in correlation to the county's operation of the control valves. Mr. Heath
509 explained that the county filled two ponds within the District, the main one being Pond 5, which
510 the golf course uses for irrigation. An above ground valve, located on Eagle Bend, is controlled
511 remotely by the county and they transmit signals that open and close the valve; the valve is
512 supposed to have a "pressure sustaining feature" that is basically "keeping pressure back for the
513 south on the reclaimed piping". The pressure in the piping will stay elevated, whether the water
514 is moving downstream or flowing into a low pressure pond.

515 Mr. Heath indicated that, during their investigation, the county was asked to open the
516 valve and the noise was heard underground, in Village 11, Heritage Glen, for approximately 60
517 to 90 seconds. The county was then asked to close the valve and the noise recurred for
518 approximately one minute. Mr. Heath noted that, during the opening and closing of the valve,
519 the pressure in the line dropped from 65 pounds per square inch (psi) to 15 psi. He indicated that
520 the hammering in the piping is associated with the big pressure swings.

521 Mr. Heath advised that the county was notified and drawings were prepared to improve
522 the valve assemblies. A new valve assembly was installed adjacent to Pond 5 and the valve on
523 Eagle Bend will be bypassed. He clarified that the new valve was sized properly and contains a
524 pressure sustain feature; it is also located closer to the pond and further away from the houses.

525 Mr. Heath summarized that the intent of the project is to maintain stable pressure within
526 the pipe system. He indicated that a smaller pressure sustaining valve will be installed adjacent
527 to Pond 12. Mr. Heath stated that Pond 12 was previously utilized for irrigation and it is
528 currently maintained for aesthetics. He predicted that construction will begin in one month.

529 Mr. Heath stated that improvements will also be made to the pump station that feeds this
530 leg of piping.

531 Mr. Nelson inquired about the time of that that the valves are activated and deactivated.
532 Mr. Heath stated that the valves are scheduled to open at approximately 7:00 a.m., and close at
533 7:00 p.m. Mr. Heath pointed out that a leveler was installed in the pump house to track the water
534 level in Pond 5. The county will transmit a manual signal to close the valve if the water level is
535 too high.

536 Mr. Heath noted that there may be other issues, if hammering continues. Ms. Walsh
537 indicated that she has not experienced the hammering noise and asked if many residents are
538 affected. Mr. Herr stated that residents near the piping in Maywood Forest complained about the
539 noise.

540 ▪ **Update: Reclaimed Water Transition Activities**

541 **** This item previously the Seventh Order of Business, was presented out of order.****

542 Mr. Russell asked Mr. Heath if additional information was received from Ms. Pamela
543 Wright, with Pasco County Utilities (PCU).

544 Mr. Heath advised that the county is waiting on the formal of agreement from Southwest
545 Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD); they will not move forward without the
546 agreement because SWFWMD is contributing 50%. The project has been on hold. Mr. Heath
547 indicated that the county held a meeting with Mr. Bruce Kennedy and Mr. Kennedy decided to
548 move forward with the project, regardless. Mr. Heath advised that he is meeting with
549 representatives from the county tomorrow.

550 Mr. Russell asked if the project will move forward after the agreement is received. Mr.
551 Heath pointed out that the design drawings and bids must be prepared. In response to Mr.
552 Russell's question, Mr. Heath advised that the drawings will take approximately two months.
553 Mr. Heath indicated that he will have more information tomorrow, subsequent to his meeting
554 with the county.

555 Mr. Jim Kelley, a resident, requested clarification on the status of the reclaimed water
556 agreement and whether SWFWMD signed it. Mr. Russell explained that SWFWMD "signed off
557 verbally".

558 Mr. Adams clarified that the county budgeted \$1.2 million towards this project and
559 SWFWMD will reimburse them 50%, or \$600,000, and the District will reimburse up to
560 \$316,000. Mr. Kelley asked what will occur if SWFWMD does not honor its commitment. Mr.
561 Adams indicated that the county is paying the costs until the project is completed and they have a
562 level of comfort to start with the engineering aspect of the project.

563 A resident asked if residents will incur out-of-pocket expenses. Mr. Herr explained that
564 each village is already equipped with a reclaimed water distribution system; therefore, it is a
565 matter of disconnecting the main pipe from the well and hooking it up to the distribution system.
566 In response to the resident's question, Mr. Herr indicated that the project will be paid for per the
567 agreement.

568 Ms. Walsh recalled prior discussion regarding a \$50 assessment to pay the District's
569 portion of the reclaimed water project. Mr. Adams indicated that the District is collecting
570 assessments to pay the \$316,000 obligation. Ms. Walsh asked if the money is placed in a reserve
571 allocated towards repayment. Mr. Adams clarified the funds are designated towards repayment
572 of the obligation.

573 Ms. Walsh summarized that SWFWMD is contributing 50%, the District 25% and the
574 county 25%. Mr. Adams concurred. Ms. Walsh asked how the HOA's reserve fund correlates
575 with the District. Mr. Adams stated that he does not recall discussions regarding the HOA's
576 reserve fund. Ms. Walsh contended that she "heard that".

577 Mr. Herr clarified that he is attending the next HOA meeting to discuss the possibility of
578 the HOA lending a portion of their reserve fund, to the District, in lieu of the District borrowing
579 from a bank. The District is able to pay up to 5% interest and the HOA is earning 3% at the
580 bank. Mr. Adams noted that the arrangement would benefit both entities.

581 Mr. Kevin McCrystal, a resident, asked if a billing system has been structured for the
582 reclaimed water. Mr. Herr indicated that it will be negotiated with the county, at a later date.

583 Mr. McCrystal asked if there was a possibility that the reclaimed water system will not be
584 installed this year. He noted that his village purposely deferred their road improvement project
585 until the completion of the reclaimed water project. Mr. Adams advised that the District's goal is
586 to complete the project this year; however, delays do occur.

587 In response to Mr. Herr's question, Mr. Adams indicated that bidding is a 90-day process.
588 Mr. Heath clarified that he has witnessed longer and shorter bidding processes. Mr. Adams
589 calculated that the groundbreaking might occur in approximately five months.

590 In response to Mr. McCrystal's question regarding the reclaimed water billing system.
591 Mr. Russell recalled that, per a prior conversation with Ms. Wright, PCU was considering a bulk
592 rate for the maintained villages and a "regular rate" for individual homes. With regard to
593 funding, Ms. Wright verbally confirmed, during a phone call with Mr. Russell, that "the funding
594 is there" from both SWFWMD and the county.

595 Mr. McCrystal advised that the HOA prefers to receive one bill and asked Mr. Russell to
596 relay that information to PCU. Mr. Adams indicated that PCU will not consider that type of
597 billing system, as they anticipate that reclaimed water will become a commodity and usage will
598 eventually be restricted. Commodity rates with conservation tiers will be utilized to restrict
599 usage. An individual user cannot be penalized for overconsumption with one global bill.

600 A Pines Ridge Village resident confirmed that his village is considered a bulk user and
601 currently receives one bill through the HOA.

602 Mr. McCrystal voiced his understanding that homes, not associated with an association,
603 will be charged at a higher rate than homes within an association. Mr. Adams indicated that,
604 under the current structure, Mr. McCrystal is correct; however, Mr. Bruce Kennedy, of Pasco
605 County, committed to restructuring the billing system when the billing software is changed.

606 A resident opined that the comments made during today's meeting were directed towards
607 maintained villages and not the self-maintained villages. Mr. Adams clarified that the comments
608 were geared toward all villages. The resident asked if everyone will receive an individual meter.
609 Mr. Adams explained that each home will receive an individual meter for reclaimed water,
610 similar to the drinking water meters. Mr. Adams indicated that the maintained villages will have
611 one larger meter serving their entire village and will receive a bulk bill for reclaimed water.

612 The resident stated that the connections to the maintained villages will be simple;
613 however, each home in the self-maintained villages has a separate irrigation system. He
614 questioned whether an engineer will be available to assist with their connection, as each system
615 has a separate timer, etc.

616 Mr. Heath explained that each home will still have a separate timer and heads. The
617 resident indicated that he is on a corner lot with three timers. Mr. Heath stated that self-
618 maintained villages will continue to have a water supply feeding the irrigation system. The only
619 change is that there will be a separate line with a separate meter that will connect to the irrigation
620 line. Further discussion ensued regarding the resident's connection.

621
622 **SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Discussion: Village 20 Reclaimed Water**
623 **Direct Billing From County**
624

625 Mr. Adams advised that PCU changed the billing address to the HOA who will continue
626 to receive the invoices, moving forward. Mr. Russell pointed out that the invoice is still in the

627 District’s name. Mr. Adams indicated that it might be necessary for the HOA to close that
628 account and open a new account in their name.

629 Mr. Heath noted that the District is the permittee and that may be the reason that PCU
630 opened the account in the District’s name.

631
632 **SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Update: Reclaimed Water Transition**
633 **Activities**
634

635 This item was discussed after the Fifth Order of Business.

636
637 **EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Consideration of Surplus Sale of Old**
638 **Toro Mower (*Tommy Land to Present*)**
639

640 This item was considered after the Third Order of Business.

641
642 **NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Discussion: Potential Hiring of**
643 **Individual to Assist CDD On Site**
644

645 Mr. Russell recalled prior discussions regarding hiring an independent contractor. He
646 asked Mr. Land and ValleyCrest’s general manager if the District can utilize their landscaping
647 equipment. The general manager was in agreement, only if the District obtained insurance for
648 the independent contractor and ValleyCrest is named on the policy.

649 Mr. Adams asked why the District is hiring an independent contractor and what services
650 will the District gain, that cannot be provided from ValleyCrest’s current contract or by
651 amending their contract.

652 Mr. Russell indicated that the Board feels that the District needs a part-time individual to
653 “take care of our irrigation systems”, for a maximum of eight to ten hours per week.

654 Mr. Elliott noted that the District is expending funds to resod and hydroseed, etc., and,
655 unless there is active irrigation, the District will be wasting its money. He indicated that the
656 independent contractor can keep the newly refurbished areas irrigated and save the District
657 money, down the road. Mr. Elliott recalled that, several years ago, \$40,000 was expended to
658 refurbish EDRA 40. He stated that the irrigation system is in place but it is limited.

659 Mr. Adams explained that irrigation will not be necessary, if the right species of grass is
660 chosen for hydro-seeding.

661 With regard to EDRA 40, Mr. Russell contended that “with all the money that was spent
662 there, there was not enough water put in there with the sod that’s there; forget about
663 hydroseeding, let’s talk about sod”. Mr. Russell indicated that “we need water in those places”
664 and the vegetation trimmed.

665 Mr. Adams suggested hydroseeding the areas that were previously planted with Bahia
666 sod and received limited irrigation. Mr. Heath concurred with Mr. Adams’ suggestion.

667 Mr. Russell pointed out that the District invested approximately \$60,000 to \$70,000 in
668 landscaping and irrigation is inadequate in some areas. He stated that the District cannot
669 continue to waste money.

670 Mr. Adams indicated that the District must be smarter in its choices, moving forward. He
671 noted that discussions should be held with hydroseeders to determine what will work best with
672 the soil condition within the boundaries of the District.

673 Mr. Elliott suggested tabling this item until further information is received.

674 Mr. Russell pointed out that the issue is with the insurance for the independent contractor.
675 Mr. Adams advised that he is trying to avoid having to hire an individual or spending additional
676 funds. Mr. Russell asked what the procedure is “if we did”. Mr. Adams explained that the
677 individual must be licensed and insured; otherwise, he would have to be on the District’s payroll
678 and covered under the District’s liability insurance.

679 Mr. Adams reiterated that he will obtain additional information regarding hydroseeding
680 and will reach out to local resources and authorities.

681 Mr. Herr indicated that Mr. Land is “under the gun and under pressure”; Mr. Herr does
682 not feel that ValleyCrest is performing to the best of their ability. Mr. Adams stated that he will
683 withhold payment to ValleyCrest, if the Board is not content with their work.

684 Mr. Russell advised that the Board would like to protect the District’s investment. He
685 noted that, in several weeks, Mr. Land will be occupied with the golf course’s landscaping needs.
686 Mr. Adams recalled that this was discussed previously and, if the Board does not feel that it is
687 prudent to utilize the same contractor as the golf course and the association, a decision should be
688 made to hire a separate contractor.

689 A resident noted that plants are installed in the EDRA’s, as well, and they need irrigation.
690

691 **TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Approval of February 11, 2014 Regular
Meeting Minutes**

692
693

694 Mr. Russell presented the February 11, 2014 Regular Meeting Minutes and asked for any
695 additions, deletions or corrections.

696

697 **On MOTION by Mr. Elliott and seconded by Mr. Russell, with**
698 **all in favor, the February 11, 2014 Regular Meeting Minutes,**
699 **as presented, were approved.**

700

701

702 **ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Other Business**

703

704 Mr. Elliott reported that he attended the Long Range Planning Committee’s (Committee)
705 meeting that morning. The Committee would like to set up a subcommittee to work with District
706 related items. Mr. Elliott suggested including a discussion on the next agenda to allow them to
707 present their plans to the District.

708 Mr. Nelson recalled that the Committee performed a survey of the newly acquired
709 property and inquired about the results. Mr. Elliott indicated that he believes the Committee is in
710 agreement with the District’s suggestion to keep the property in its natural state, at this time.

711 In response to Mr. Adams’ question, the Board agreed to include a presentation from the
712 Committee on the next agenda.

713 Mr. Adams advised that he reviewed the ValleyCrest contract and it is based on a
714 calendar year. The current contract expires in 2015. He noted the 90-day cancellation clause.

715

716 **TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS** **Staff Reports**

717

718 **A. Attorney**

719 There being no report, the next item followed.

720 **B. Engineer**

721 Mr. Heath reported that the wells in Village 12, Pine Meadow, are running out of water.
722 Discussion ensued regarding the location of the wells.

723 Mr. Herr asked how much it will cost to connect Village 12 to the reclaimed water
724 system. Mr. Heath advised that he will tour Village 12, after today’s meeting, and inspect the
725 current system.

726 Mr. Adams requested a motion authorizing the emergency connection of Village 12 to the
727 Pasco County Master Reuse System (PCMRS) and authorizing Staff to enter into an agreement
728 for the connection. Mr. Herr asked if an amount should be included in the motion. Mr. Adams

729 suggested giving the District Manager and Engineer discretion regarding the not-to-exceed
730 amount.

731

On MOTION by Mr. Russell and seconded by Mr. Nelson, with all in favor, authorizing the emergency connection of Village 12 to the PCMRS and authorizing Staff to negotiate an agreement for the connection, in a not-to-exceed amount to be determined by the District Manager and Engineer, were approved.

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

Mr. Russell asked Mr. Heath to advise him of the estimated costs for the connection.

741

742

C. Manager

743

i. Approval of Unaudited Financial Statements as of February 28, 2014

744

Mr. Adams presented the Unaudited Financial Statements as of February 28, 2014 and highlighted that collections were at 52%, through the end of February.

745

ii. NEXT MEETING: June 10, 2014 at 2:00 P.M.

746

Mr. Adams advised that the next meeting will be held on June 10, 2014 at 2:00 p.m., at this location, and noted that the audited financial statements for Fiscal Year 2013 and the Fiscal Year 2015 proposed budget will be presented.

747

748

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Supervisors' Requests

749

There being no Supervisors' Requests, the next item followed.

750

751

FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS Adjournment

752

There being nothing additional to discuss, the meeting adjourned at 4:06 p.m.

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769 _____
Secretary/Assistant Secretary

Chair/Vice Chair